

Debate & Group Discussion Practice for Law Students

Advocate Anamika Kumari, Partner at Ekadeekta Legal



Why These Skills Define Legal Excellence

Legal professionals must excel in **constructing persuasive arguments**, **listening critically**, and **communicating with precision**. These competencies aren't optional—they're fundamental to effective advocacy.

Debate and group discussion practice directly translates to:

- Commanding courtroom presence
- Performing confidently in interviews
- Managing complex client meetings
- Negotiating favourable outcomes



Debate vs Group Discussion: Understanding the Formats

Debate Format

- Highly structured framework
- Two opposing sides clearly defined
- Timed speeches with strict limits
- Declared winner based on judging
- Ideal for examinations and competitions

Group Discussion Format

- Open, flowing conversation
- Multiple viewpoints explored
- Collaborative exchange of ideas
- No declared winner or loser
- Preferred for job interviews and assessments

Both formats develop critical thinking, but serve different purposes in your legal education and career preparation.

What Evaluators Are Really Assessing

Content Knowledge

Demonstrable familiarity with relevant cases, statutes, and constitutional provisions—your arguments must be grounded in law, not opinion.

Logic & Coherence

Arguments must flow naturally from premise to conclusion. Examiners look for structured reasoning, not disconnected points.

Evidence & Authority

Cite case law, constitutional articles, and statutory provisions. Legal citations demonstrate rigour and preparation.

Counter-Arguments

Acknowledge opposing views respectfully and respond substantively. Strong advocates understand both sides thoroughly.

Clarity of Expression

Use simple, precise language. Complexity doesn't equal sophistication—clarity does.

Professional Ethics

Maintain respect, avoid aggression, and never misrepresent facts or law. Integrity matters as much as skill.



Criminal Law Reforms: The Timeline Debate

Should Speed Override Fair Trial Rights?

Key Reforms Under BNS & BNSS

- Investigation completed within 90 days
- Judgement delivered within 45 days
- E-FIR system implemented nationally
- Digital evidence formally recognised
- Enhanced victim-centric provisions

The Central Tension

Article 21 guarantees both *speedy* trial and *fair* trial. When timelines compress investigations and judgements, do we risk sacrificing fairness for speed?

This proposition tests your ability to balance competing constitutional values.



Building Arguments: Timeline-Based Trials



Affirmative Position

Strict timelines create dangerous pressure, potentially leading to investigative shortcuts. Complex cases require adequate time for proper defence preparation—Article 21 demands *both* components.



Negative Position

Delays themselves constitute injustice. Modern technology accelerates investigation without compromising quality. Extensions remain available for genuinely complex matters, whilst victims receive deserved closure.

Digital Evidence & the BSA Framework

Section 6 of the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam raises critical questions: Is digital evidence sufficiently reliable? Consider metadata integrity, deepfake technology, forensic expertise availability, and [Article 21 privacy concerns](#).

Contemporary Legal Topics for Practice



Trademark Law in Digital Age

E-commerce platforms face liability questions for counterfeit goods. Should influencers bear responsibility? Can AI-generated content infringe trademarks? Cross-border enforcement remains challenging.



Judicial Accountability & Live-Streaming

Transparency builds public trust, but confidentiality protects vulnerable parties. POCSO victims, family matters, and witness safety create compelling exceptions to default live-streaming.



Arbitration & Court Powers

Should courts modify arbitral awards? Party autonomy competes with error correction. Public policy exceptions and investor-state disputes complicate enforcement uniformity.



Maintenance Rights Presumptions

Should law presume economic dependence for women unless proven otherwise? Social reality suggests yes, but fairness and employment incentives suggest caution.

Seven Principles for Powerful Legal Arguments

01

Begin with Core Principles

Always start with: "The core principle governing this matter is..." Ground your argument in established legal doctrine before building upon it.

02

Use Clear Signposting

"I advance three arguments—first..., second..., third..." Structure creates clarity and helps evaluators follow your reasoning.

03

Concede Reasonably

"My learned friend raises a valid point, however..." Acknowledging merit in opposing arguments demonstrates intellectual honesty and confidence.

04

Cite Actual Case Law

Reference *Rakhi Sadhukhan, Chetan, or Scindia Steamship*. Specific citations demonstrate preparation and legal authority.

05

Connect to Constitutional Foundations

Article 21 encompasses fair trial, privacy, and speedy justice. Constitutional grounding elevates arguments from policy to principle.

06

Deploy Persuasive Data

Statistics and empirical evidence strengthen arguments significantly. Numbers transform abstract claims into concrete realities.

07

Pre-empt Counter-Arguments

Address obvious objections before opponents raise them. Demonstrating awareness of both sides shows comprehensive understanding.

Your Preparation Roadmap



Read Recent Judgements

Focus on 2024–25 decisions. Understand reasoning, not just outcomes. Supreme Court judgements set precedent and provide persuasive authority.



Master BNS, BNSS & BSA Provisions

Know key sections thoroughly. These reforms dominate current legal discourse and examination topics.



Compare International Systems

Understand how other jurisdictions handle similar issues. Comparative analysis strengthens arguments and demonstrates broader perspective.

"Effective advocacy combines **legal knowledge**, **logical reasoning**, and **ethical communication**. Master these elements through consistent practice, and you'll excel in any legal forum."

